#### Computational Learning Theory Learning with EFSs

#### Akihiro Yamamoto 山本 章博

http://www.iip.ist.i.kyoto-u.ac.jp/member/akihiro/ akihiro@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp

# Examples

#### Example 3

- $C_3 = \{aaabbb, ab, aaaabbbb, aaaaabbbbb, aabb\}$  $D_3 = \{a, b, bbbb, abb, baaaaba, babbb\}$ 
  - Every string in C<sub>3</sub> consists of two strings: The first half consists only of a's, and the second consists of the same number of b's.

# No pattern represents the rule.

#### Example 3

- $C_3 = \{aaabbb, ab, aaaabbbb, aaaaabbbbb, aabb\}$ 
  - Every string in C<sub>3</sub> consists of two strings: The first half consists only of a's, and the second consists of the same number of b's.
- Assume a pattern  $\pi$  represents the rule.

If  $\pi$  has variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , a contradiction is derived by substituting an **a** to each of  $x_i$ , that is, applying  $\theta = \{(x_1, \mathbf{a}), \ldots, (x_n, \mathbf{a})\}$  to  $\pi$ .

# An EFS represents the rule.

#### Example 3

- $C_3 = \{aaabbb, ab, aaaabbbb, aaaaabbbbb, aabb\}$ 
  - Every string in C<sub>3</sub> consists of two strings: The first half consists only of a's, and the second consists of the same number of b's.
- Assume a pattern  $\pi$  represents the rule.

If  $\pi$  has variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , a contradiction is derived by substituting an **a** to each of  $x_i$ , that is, applying  $\theta = \{(x_1, \mathbf{a}), \ldots, (x_n, \mathbf{a})\}$  to  $\pi$ .

#### **Elementary Formal Systems**

# Mathematical Logic

 Mathematical logic is a subfield of mathematics exploring the applications of formal logic to mathematics.[Wikipedia]

All humans are mortal. Socrates is human.



Socrates is mortal.

 $mortal(x) \leftarrow human(x)$ human(Socrates) $\bigcirc Operation\\Algorithm\\mortal(Socrates)$ 







#### **Predicate Symbols**

Introducing symbols of a new type.

- Every predicate symbol is interpreted as a language (a set of strings) or a set of tuples  $(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n)$  of strings.
- In this course we use symbols p, q, r,... which are respectively interpreted as sets P, Q, R,....
- An atomic formula is a formula of the form

 $p(\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_n)$ 

where  $\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_n$  are patterns. If n=1 and  $\pi_1 = s$  is ground, p(s) is interpreted as  $s \in P$ .

#### Example

Some examples of atomic formulae are p(axb), q(ax, by), q(x, bxb), p(aabb), q(aa, bb). The last two formulae are ground.

#### Definite Clause (Rules) and EFS

• A definite clause is a formula of the form

 $p(\pi_1, ..., \pi_n) \leftarrow q_1(\tau_{11}, ...), q_2(\tau_{21}, ...), ..., q_k(\tau_{k1}, ...)$ where  $\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \tau_{11}, ..., \tau_{k1}, ...$  are patterns. The definite clause is interpreted as

- "for any substitution  $\theta$ , if  $(\tau_{11}\theta,...) \in Q_1, (\tau_{21}\theta,...) \in Q_2,...,$  $q_k(\tau_{k1}\theta,...) \in Q_k$  then  $(\pi_1\theta, \pi_2\theta,..., \pi_n\theta) \in P$ "
  - A clause  $p(\pi_1, ..., \pi_n) \leftarrow$  which has no conditions is sometimes called a unit clause.
- A finite set of definite clause is called an elementary formal system (EFS). [Smullyan 61]

Examples

Some examples of definite clauses are

```
p(ax) \leftarrow r(x)r(b) \leftarrowp(axby) \leftarrow r(x), r(y)q(ax, by) \leftarrow q(x, y)
```

```
animal(x) \leftarrow human(x)
objects(x) \leftarrow animal(x)
human(xy) \leftarrow human(x), human(y)
human(K) \leftarrow
human(N) \leftarrow
```

#### **Inference Rules for Definite Clauses**

- We use the following two rules
  - Instantiation

$$p(\pi_1,...) \leftarrow q_1(\tau_{11},...), q_2(\tau_{21},...), ..., q_k(\tau_{k1},...)$$
$$(p(\pi_1,...) \leftarrow q_1(\tau_{11},...), q_2(\tau_{21},...), ..., q_k(\tau_{k1},...))\theta$$

Modus Pones

$$p(\pi_1,...) \leftarrow p_1(\pi_{11},...),..., p_k(\pi_{k1},...) \quad p_1(\pi_1,...) \leftarrow q_1(\tau_{11},...),...$$

$$p(\pi_1,...) \leftarrow q_1(\tau_{11},...), \dots, p_2(\pi_{21},...), \dots, p_k(\pi_{k1},...)$$

• A proof is a continuous application of the inference rules.

# Example of Proof (1)

S: 
$$a(x) \leftarrow h(x)$$
  
 $O(x) \leftarrow a(x)$   
 $h(xy) \leftarrow h(x), h(y)$   
 $h(K) \leftarrow$   
 $h(N) \leftarrow$   
 $h(O) \leftarrow$ 

$$\frac{h(xy) \leftarrow h(x), h(y)}{h(NO) \leftarrow h(N), h(O)} \qquad h(N) \leftarrow \\ \hline h(NO) \leftarrow h(N) \qquad h(O) \leftarrow \\ \hline h(NO) \leftarrow \\ h(NO) \leftarrow \\ \hline h(NO) \leftarrow \\$$

#### Example of Proof (2)

S: 
$$a(x) \leftarrow h(x)$$
  
 $o(x) \leftarrow a(x)$   
 $h(xy) \leftarrow h(x), h(y)$   
 $h(K) \leftarrow$   
 $h(N) \leftarrow$   
 $h(O) \leftarrow$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} o(x) \leftarrow a(x) & \overline{a(x) \leftarrow h(x)} \\ \hline o(K) \leftarrow a(K) & \overline{a(K) \leftarrow h(K)} & h(K) \leftarrow \\ \hline o(K) \leftarrow & \overline{a(K) \leftarrow } \\ \hline \end{array}$$

# Example of Proof (3)

S:  $p(ax) \leftarrow q(x)$   $q(bx) \leftarrow p(x)$  $p(a) \leftarrow q(b) \leftarrow$ 



# Example of Proof (4)

S:  $p(axb) \leftarrow p(x)$  $p(ab) \leftarrow$ 



# Example of Proof (5)

S:  $p(axb) \leftarrow p(x)$  $p(xy) \leftarrow p(x), p(y)$  $p(ab) \leftarrow$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|c} p(axb) \leftarrow p(x) \\ \hline p(xy) \leftarrow p(x), p(y) & p(aabb) \leftarrow p(ab) & p(ab) \leftarrow \\ \hline p(aabby) \leftarrow p(aabb), p(y) & p(aabb) \leftarrow \\ \hline p(aabby) \leftarrow p(y) \\ \hline p(aabbab) \leftarrow p(ab) & p(ab) \leftarrow \\ \hline p(aabbab) \leftarrow \end{array}$$

# Defining a language by proofs

• À ground atomic formula  $p(s_1, ..., s_n)$  is provable from an EFS *S* if

there is a proof which derives  $p(s_1, ..., s_n)$  and S.

• We define a language with a proof from an EFS.

 $L(p, S) = \{ s \mid p(s) \text{ is provable from } S \}$ 

Example  $S: p(axb) \leftarrow p(x)$   $p(ab) \leftarrow$  $L(p, S) = \{ab, aabb, aaabbb, aaabbb, ...\}$ 

#### **Refinement Operator for EFS's**

# Learning EFS

• Fix an effective enumeration of EFS on  $\Sigma \cup X$ :  $S_1, S_2, \dots,$ 

 $k = 1, S = S_{1}$ for n = 1 forever receive  $e_{n} = \langle s_{n}, b_{n} \rangle$ while ( $0 \le \exists j \le n$  $(e_{j} = \langle s_{j}, + \rangle \text{ and } s_{j} \notin L(S))$  and  $(e_{j} = \langle s_{j}, - \rangle \text{ and } s_{j} \in L(S))$ S = S' for an appropriate S'; k + +

output S

# Enumerating EFS

- A simple method to enumerate EFS.
- We define the size of an EFS S as the total number of symbols in S but except "←", "(", ")"and ",".

```
Example size({p(axb) \leftarrow p(x), p(ab) \leftarrow})=9
```



#### Hasse Diagram (General Version)



# **Refinement Operator**

- A refinement operator ρ defines, from a given rule set g, set of grammar satisfying:
  - 1.  $\rho(g)$  is recursively enumerable,
  - 2. for all  $h \in \rho(g) L(h) \subseteq L(g)$ , and
  - 3. ere is no sequence  $g_1, g_2, ..., g_n$  of grammars such that  $g_{i+1} = \rho(g_i)$  and  $g_1 = g_n$ .
    - The refinement operator works as the operator  $\rho = f(x) \rightarrow f(x + \Delta x) \ (=f'(x) \ \Delta x + f(x))$ for a usual mathematical function *f*.

#### **Refinement of Patterns**

#### • For patterns on $\Sigma$ .

 $\sigma_x = \{ x := x y \} \text{ where } y \text{ is a fresh variable} \\ \theta_{xc} = \{ x := c \} \text{ where } c \text{ is in } \Sigma \\ \delta_{xy} = \{ x := z, y := x \} \text{ where } z \text{ is a fresh variable} \\ \rho(\pi) = \{ \pi \sigma_x \mid x \text{ is a variable occurring in } \pi \} \\ \cup \{ \pi \theta_{xc} \mid x \text{ is a variable occurring in } \pi \text{ and } c \\ \text{ is in } \Sigma \} \end{cases}$ 

 $\cup \{\pi \delta_{xy} \mid x \text{ and } y \text{ are variables occurring in } \pi \}$ 

#### Generating Patterns with Refinement

- Let *C* be a set of positive examples and *D* be a set of negative examples.
- Assume the set of variables  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$

```
Let P := \{x_1\}, Q := \emptyset
/*P is for keeping candidates, and Q is for minimal candidates.*/
           while P \neq \emptyset do
                     choose \pi from P
                      P' := \emptyset
                     for each \pi' \in \rho(\pi)
                               if C \subseteq L(\pi^{\prime}) and L(\pi^{\prime}) \cap D = \emptyset
                                                    P' := P' \cup \{\pi'\}
                     if P' = \emptyset
                               Q := Q \cup \{\pi\}
                     else
                         P := P - \{\pi\} \cup P'
```

# Refinement for EFS's

- Because an EFS is a set of definite clauses, we define the refinement operator for EFSs by
  - defining the refinement of operator of definite clause
  - and then defining the refinement operator of the set of definite clauses.

#### **Refinement for Definite Clauses**

For a definite clause  $C = A \leftarrow B_1, \dots, B_n$  $\sigma_x = \{x := x y\}$  where y is a fresh variable  $\theta_{xc} = \{ x := c \}$  where c is in  $\Sigma$  $\delta_{xy} = \{x := z, y := x\}$  where z is a fresh variable  $\rho(C) = \{C \sigma_x \mid x \text{ is a variable occurring in } C \}$  $\cup \{C \theta_{x_c} \mid x \text{ is a variable occurring in } C \text{ and }$ c is in  $\Sigma$  }  $\cup \{C \delta_{xy} \mid x \text{ and } y \text{ are variables occurring in } C \}$ }  $\cup \{A \leftarrow B_1, \dots, B_n, p(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid$ where  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  are mutually distinct variables occuring in *A*}

#### **Refinement for Definite Clauses**

For a set S of definite clauses  $\rho(S) = \{S \cup \{D\} | D \in \rho(C) \text{ for some } C \in S\}$   $\cup \{S - \{C\} | C \in S\}$ 

- The top element is a set of clauses of the form  $T: \begin{cases} p_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n1}) \leftarrow \\ p_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n2}) \leftarrow \\ p_3(x_1, \dots, x_{n3}) \leftarrow \end{cases}$
- $\rho^n(P)$ : The set of EFS which can be obtained by applying  $\rho$  repeatedly at most *n* times.

# A Successful Case

- If we give some restrictions to EFS *S*, we can simply extend the learning algorithm for patterns.
- An example of such a restriction is: The number of definite clauses in S is bounded up to a given N and every clause is of the form p(π<sub>1</sub>,..., π<sub>n</sub>) ← q<sub>1</sub>(x<sub>1</sub>),q<sub>2</sub>(x<sub>2</sub>),..., q<sub>k</sub>(x<sub>k</sub>) where x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,..., x<sub>k</sub> appears in π<sub>1</sub>,..., π<sub>n</sub>.
- The latter condition is just saying that *S* corresponds to a CFG.

# A Key Property of Refinement

#### • For EFS S and T,

#### $T \in \rho(S) \Longrightarrow L(S) \supseteq L(T)$

- The definition of ρ(S) is rather mathematical, and a more practical method for finding hypotheses can be formalized with not using ρ(S) but ρ(C).
  - Starting with T, if a definite clause C generates any negative example, replace C with all of the clauses in  $\rho(C)$ .

Learning EFS  $S = \mathsf{T}$ for n = 1 forever receive  $e_n = \langle s_n, b_n \rangle$ while  $(0 \le \exists j \le n e_j = \langle s_j, - \rangle \text{ and } s_j \in L(S))$ delete a clause C in S and add all clauses in  $\rho(C)$ 

output S



$$p(ab) \leftarrow$$

$$E_1 = \langle p(aabb), + \rangle, E_2 = \langle p(ab), + \rangle$$

$$E_3 = \langle p(abb), - \rangle$$



$$E_1 = \langle p(aabb), + \rangle, E_2 = \langle p(ab), + \rangle$$
  
 $E_3 = \langle p(bba), - \rangle$ 

 $S = \frac{p(x)}{(x)}$ 

 $p(XY) \leftarrow, p(a) \leftarrow, p(b) \leftarrow, p(X) \leftarrow p(X)$ 



$$E_{1} = \langle p(aabb), + \rangle, E_{2} = \langle p(ab), + \rangle$$

$$E_{3} = \langle p(bba), - \rangle$$

$$S = \frac{p(x) \leftarrow}{p(x)}, p(a) \leftarrow, p(b) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow p(x)$$

$$p(x) \neq (a, y) \leftarrow, p(b) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow (x)$$

$$p(x) \neq (a, y) \leftarrow, p(b) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow (y) \leftarrow$$



$$\begin{split} E_1 &= \langle \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{aabb}), + \rangle, E_2 &= \langle \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{ab}), + \rangle \\ E_3 &= \langle \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{bba}), - \rangle \\ S &= p(x) \leftarrow \\ &p(xy) \leftarrow , p(\mathrm{a}) \leftarrow , p(\mathrm{b}) \leftarrow , p(x) \leftarrow p(x) \\ &p(xyz) \leftarrow , p(\mathrm{a}y) \leftarrow , p(\mathrm{b}y) \leftarrow , p(x\mathrm{a}) \leftarrow , p(x\mathrm{b}) \leftarrow \\ &p(xy) \leftarrow p(x), p(xy) \leftarrow p(y) \\ &p(xxz) \leftarrow , p(xyx) \leftarrow , p(xyy) \leftarrow , p(xyz) \leftarrow p(x), \\ &p(xyz) \leftarrow p(y), p(xyz) \leftarrow p(z), \\ &p(\mathrm{a}yz) \leftarrow , p(\mathrm{b}yz) \leftarrow , \dots, p(xy\mathrm{a}) \leftarrow , p(xy\mathrm{b}) \leftarrow , \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} E_1 &= \langle \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{aabb}), + \rangle, E_2 &= \langle \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{ab}), + \rangle \\ E_3 &= \langle \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{bba}), - \rangle \\ S &= \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X}) \longleftarrow \\ \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X}) &\longleftarrow \\ \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X}) &\leftarrow \\ \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X})$$



 $E_1 = \langle p(aabb), + \rangle, E_2 = \langle p(ab), + \rangle$  $E_3 = \langle p(bba), - \rangle$  $S = \frac{p(x)}{(x)}$  $p(X) \leftarrow p(a) \leftarrow p(b) \leftarrow p(x) \leftarrow p(x)$  $p(xyz) \leftarrow, p(ay) \leftarrow, p(by) \leftarrow, p(xa) \leftarrow, p(xb) \leftarrow$  $p(XY) \leftarrow p(X), p(XY) \leftarrow p(Y)$  $p(XXZ) \leftarrow, p(XYX) \leftarrow, p(XYY) \leftarrow, p(XYZ) \leftarrow p(X),$  $p(XYZ) \leftarrow p(Y), p(XYZ) \leftarrow p(Z),$  $p(a \vee z) \leftarrow, p(b \vee z) \leftarrow, \dots, p(x \vee a) \leftarrow, p(x \vee b) \leftarrow,$  $p(aa) \leftarrow, p(ab) \leftarrow, p(axy) \leftarrow, p(ay) \leftarrow p(y),$  $p(ba) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow$ 



$$\begin{split} E_1 &= \langle p(aabb), + \rangle, E_2 &= \langle p(ab), + \rangle \\ E_3 &= \langle p(bba), - \rangle \\ S &= p(a) \leftarrow, p(b) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow p(x) \\ p(by) \leftarrow, p(xa) \leftarrow, p(xy) \leftarrow p(x), p(xy) \leftarrow p(y) \\ p(xxz) \leftarrow, p(xyx) \leftarrow, p(xyy) \leftarrow, p(xyz) \leftarrow p(x), \\ p(xyz) \leftarrow p(y), p(xyz) \leftarrow p(z), \\ p(ayz) \leftarrow, p(byz) \leftarrow, \dots, p(xya) \leftarrow, p(xyb) \leftarrow, \\ p(aa) \leftarrow, p(ab) \leftarrow, p(ay) \leftarrow p(y), \\ p(bb) \leftarrow, p(xyb) \leftarrow, p(xb) \leftarrow p(x) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} E_1 &= \langle p(aabb), + \rangle, E_2 &= \langle p(ab), + \rangle \\ E_3 &= \langle p(bba), - \rangle \\ S &= p(a) \leftarrow, p(b) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow p(x) \\ p(by) \leftarrow, p(xa) \leftarrow, p(xy) \leftarrow p(x), p(xy) \leftarrow p(y) \\ p(xyx) \leftarrow, p(xyy) \leftarrow, p(xyz) \leftarrow p(x), \\ p(xyz) \leftarrow p(y), p(xyz) \leftarrow p(z), \\ p(ayz) \leftarrow, p(byz) \leftarrow, \dots, p(xya) \leftarrow, p(xyb) \leftarrow, \\ p(aa) \leftarrow, p(ab) \leftarrow, p(ay) \leftarrow p(y), \\ p(bb) \leftarrow, p(xyb) \leftarrow, p(xb) \leftarrow p(x) \\ p(ayy) \leftarrow, p(byy) \leftarrow, p(aaz) \leftarrow, p(bbz) \leftarrow, p(xyxyz) \leftarrow, \\ p(xxz) \leftarrow p(x), p(xxz) \leftarrow p(z), \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} E_1 &= \langle p(aabb), + \rangle, E_2 &= \langle p(ab), + \rangle \\ E_3 &= \langle p(bba), - \rangle \\ S &= p(a) \leftarrow, p(b) \leftarrow, p(x) \leftarrow p(x) \\ p(by) \leftarrow, p(xa) \leftarrow, p(xy) \leftarrow p(x), p(xy) \leftarrow p(y) \\ p(xyx) \leftarrow, p(xyy) \leftarrow, p(xyz) \leftarrow p(x), \\ p(xyz) \leftarrow p(y), p(xyz) \leftarrow p(z), \\ p(ayz) \leftarrow, p(byz) \leftarrow, \dots, p(xya) \leftarrow, p(xyb) \leftarrow, \\ p(aa) \leftarrow, p(ab) \leftarrow, p(ay) \leftarrow p(y), \\ p(bb) \leftarrow, p(xyb) \leftarrow, p(xb) \leftarrow p(x) \\ p(ayy) \leftarrow, p(byy) \leftarrow, p(aaz) \leftarrow, p(bbz) \leftarrow, p(xyxyz) \leftarrow, \\ p(xxz) \leftarrow p(x), p(xxz) \leftarrow p(z), \end{split}$$



. . .

```
E_1 = \langle p(aabb), + \rangle, E_2 = \langle p(ab), + \rangleE_3 = \langle p(bba), - \rangleS = \dotsp(a \not b) \leftarrow p( \not ),p(ab) \leftarrow,
```

#### Context Free Grammar

• A context free grammar is defined as  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ where

*N* is a finite set of non-terminal symbols (non-terminals, syntactic categories).

- $\Sigma$  is a finite set of characters or terminals.
- *P* is a set of rules (productions).

 $S \in N$  is an initial state.

- A production is of the form A → γ where
   A is a non-terminal and γ is a sequence of terminals and non-terminals.
  - Note: In general definition γ can be an empty sequence ε.
     In this course we do not allow γ to be ε.

#### **EFS and Formal Grammar**

# **Context Free Grammar**

- À context free grammar is defined as  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ where
  - *N* is a finite set of non-terminal symbols (non-terminals, syntactic categories).
  - $\Sigma$  is a finite set of characters or terminals.
  - *P* is a set of rules (productions).
  - $S \notin N$  is an initial state.
- A production is of the form A → γ where
   A is a non-terminal and γ is a sequence of terminals and non-terminals.
  - Note: In general definition γ can be an empty sequence ε.
     In this course we do not allow γ to be ε.

$$G_{1} =$$

$$(N = \{S\}, \Sigma = \{a, b\}, P = \{S \rightarrow ab, S \rightarrow aSb\}, S)$$

$$G_{2} = (N = \{S, A, B\}, \Sigma = \{a, b\},$$

$$P = \{S \rightarrow aAB, A \rightarrow bBB, B \rightarrow abb\}, S)$$

$$G_{3} = (N = \{S, A, B, C, \}, \Sigma = \{a, b, c\},$$

$$P = \{S \rightarrow aA, S \rightarrow bB, A \rightarrow aA, A \rightarrow bC,$$

$$A \rightarrow b$$

$$B \rightarrow aB, B \rightarrow bB, C \rightarrow aA, C \rightarrow bC,$$

$$C \rightarrow b\}, S)$$

# $G_{5} = (N = \{S\}, \Sigma = \{a, b, c, +, *, (, )\}, P = \{S \rightarrow (S + S), S \rightarrow (S * S), S \rightarrow a, S \rightarrow b, S \rightarrow c\}, S)$

# Derivations

An application of a production rule  $A \rightarrow \gamma \in P$  is written

as 
$$\alpha A \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \gamma \beta$$
 where  $\alpha, \beta \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$ 

 This means that only one occurrence of A in a string is replaced with γ.

$$S * (S + S) \Longrightarrow V * (S + S)$$
$$S * (S + S) \Longrightarrow S * (V + S)$$
$$S * (S + S) \Longrightarrow V * (V + V)$$

• A derivation from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  is a finite sequence of applications starting with  $\alpha$  and ending with  $\beta$ :

 $\alpha = \gamma_1 \Rightarrow \gamma_2 \Rightarrow ... \Rightarrow \gamma_n = \beta$ We write  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta$  if  $\alpha = \beta$  or there is a derivation from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ .

#### **Context Free Languages**

- The language defined a context free grammar  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$  is  $L(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* | \text{ there is a derivation } S \Rightarrow^* w \}.$
- A language *L* is context free if there is a context free grammar *G* such that L = L(G).

Example For  $G = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, \{S \rightarrow ab, S \rightarrow aSb\}, S),$  $L(G) = \{a^nb^n \mid n \ge 1\}.$ 

# Example 1

- $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$
- $L = \{ \mathbf{a}^n \mathbf{b}^n \mid n \ge 1 \} = \{ \underset{n \text{ times } n \text{ times}}{a \text{ times}} \mid n \ge 1 \}$ 
  - $= \{ab, aabb, aaabbb, aaaabbbb, ... \}$
- The language is defined with a set of productions:  $S \rightarrow ab, S \rightarrow aSb$
- Some examples of derivations:
  - $S \Rightarrow ab$
  - $S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aabb$
  - $S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aaabbb$
  - $S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aaaSbbb \Rightarrow aaaabbbb$

• It is easy to show that there is no FA which accepts L.

# Example 2

Mathematical formulae consisting of x, y, +, \*, (, and )
 correct: x + y, y\*(x+y), ((x\*x)+x), x, ...
 incorrect: x +, (y\*x+y, (), ...

Note: We assume strict use of (and ). correct: (x + y), (x)incorrect: x \* x \* x, y \* x + x

• The set are defined by a set of rules

$$S \rightarrow V \qquad V \rightarrow x$$
  

$$S \rightarrow S + S \qquad V \rightarrow y$$
  

$$S \rightarrow S * S$$
  

$$S \rightarrow (S)$$

#### Example 2 (cont.)

• An example of a derivation:

$$S \to V \qquad S \to S * S \qquad V \to x \\ S \to S + S \qquad S \to (S) \qquad V \to y$$

$$S \Rightarrow S * S \Rightarrow S * (S) \Rightarrow S * (S + S)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow V * (S + S) \Rightarrow V * (V + V)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow y * (V + V) \Rightarrow y * (x + V) \Rightarrow y * (x + y)$$

#### Transforming CFG to EFS

• For every production rule  $P \rightarrow w_1 \ Q_1 w_2 \ Q_2 \dots Q_n w_{n+1}$   $P, Q \in N, w_i \in \Sigma^*$ we define a definite clause  $p(w_1 \ x_1 w_2 \ x_2 \dots x_n w_{n+1}) \leftarrow q_1(x_1), q_2(x_2), \dots, q_n(x_n)$ 

Example

 $\begin{array}{ccc} P \to aPb & \Longrightarrow & p(axb) \leftarrow p(x) \\ P \to ab & \Longrightarrow & p(ab) \leftarrow \end{array}$ 





- There is a class of EFS which corresponds to the context sensitive grammar.
- There is a class of EFS which corresponds to TM.
- It is not easy to check which class L(p, S) belongs to  $p(xx) \leftarrow p(x)$

$$p(a) \leftarrow$$